ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
001	KPMG Consulting observed discrepancies in the February 2001 release of the Verizon progression test deck version 3.5 LSOG4 documentation	Closed	Discussion Complete	5/22/01: Opened; Verizon agreed with the findings except the Item 2, the LSR listing in DL form issue. Verizon indicated that the findings they agree with have been corrected with the new release of the CTE Test Deck (May 7).  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting is to further investigate the Item 2 and address Verizon's comments at the next meeting.	
				<ul> <li>06/05/01: Verizon indicated they no longer disagree with KPMG Consulting regarding Item 2. Further, Verizon indicated that Item 2 is corrected with the May 31 publication of CTE Test Deck.</li> <li>KPMG Consulting verified that the CTE Test Deck (Version 4.0) fixed the issues noted in Table 1 (as Verizon indicated in the previous meeting), except for Item 2. In addition, KPMG Consulting noted that the latest CTE Test Deck (Version 4.2, published May 31, 2001) partially fixed issues noted in Item 2. While the latest release fixed the DIRQTYNC &amp; DIRTYP fields in DL form, the LSR continues to incorrectly list YPHV/YPH fields in DIR group.</li> <li>Action Items:</li> <li>Verizon will investigate LSR listing of YPHV/YPH fields and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
			06/12/01: Verizon indicated that Item #2 regarding YPHV/YPH fields in DIR group has been corrected with the updated CTE Test Deck release (June 11).  KPMG Consulting asked a clarification question regarding Item #1, as to where the manual input error occurred during the process. Verizon indicated the manual error occurred at EDI where the tester entered the wrong telephone number.  KPMG Consulting indicated that issues noted in Item #5 regarding CKR & PORTED NBR fields in test case #31		

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				reappeared in CTE Test Deck Version 4.2.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate LSR listing of YPHV/YPH fields in the updated release and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  Verizon will investigate the reappearance of issue Item #5 regarding CKR & PORTED NBR fields in CTE Test Deck Version 4.2 and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting confirmed that Item #2 regarding YPHV/YPH fields in DIR group has been corrected with the updated CTE Test Deck release (June 11). Verizon indicated that issues noted in Item #5 regarding CKR & PORTED NBR fields in scenario #31, which reappeared in the CTE Test Deck Version 4.2, have been corrected in the CTE Test Deck Version 4.4 (June 15).  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate issue Item #5 in the updated release and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting confirmed that the issues have been corrected in the CTE Test Deck Version 4.4 (June 15) and proposed to close the observation next week  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
				07/05/01: Closed	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
002	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon's Product Interval Guides do not provide provisioning intervals for Directory Listing (DL) orders for either Resale or UNE products	Closed	Discussion Complete	5/22/01: Opened; Verizon disagreed with the findings.  Action Items:  Verizon is to provide information as to where the information regarding provisioning intervals can be located (website address, page number, line number, etc.).  Verizon is to provide a date as to when the two versions of the Interval Guides will have consistent information at the next meeting.  KPMG Consulting is to further investigate the issue and address Verizon's comments at the next meeting.  KPMG Consulting is to further investigate the issue and address Verizon's comments at the next meeting.  Verizon indicated that page 2 of the Resale Standard Intervals is changed to reflect the Directory Listing (DL) information. However, KPMG Consulting noted that the change provides intervals for Residential customers only, and not for Business customers. In addition, KPMG Consulting noted that while the Resale Standard Intervals references DL orders under Directory Listing Changes and Adds, the Intervals for Unbundled Network Elements references DL orders under Directory Assistance.  Action Items:  Verizon will investigate provisioning intervals for DL activities for business customers and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O6/12/01: Verizon indicated that the Product Interval Guides for North and South are consistent. Verizon's website has been updated to include both residence and business Directory Assistance interval information as follows:  Verizon South – Residence, Pg 2; Business, Pg 4  Verizon North – Residence, Pg 18; Business, Pg 20  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate the updated Product Interval Guides regarding residential and business Directory Listing intervals and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			06/19/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the changes to the Product Interval Guides for North and South do not appear in the documents posted on the website. Verizon indicated that the updates are available on the website referenced in the Observation itself.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will reinvestigate the Product Interval Guides and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  06/26/01: Verizon indicated that the changes to the Product Interval	Documents
				Guides for North and South had been made to one of the two Verizon websites which is the reason why KPMG Consulting was not able to verify the changes last week. Verizon indicated that they updated the link so that both websites point to the same file. KPMG Consulting confirmed that the changes have been verified and proposed to close the observation next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  07/03/01: Closed	
003	KPMG Consulting observed conflicting product intervals in documentation found on the Verizon web site for Resale service requests	Closed	Discussion Complete	5/22/01: Opened; Verizon agreed with the findings with an exception of the first item in Exhibit 1. KPMG Consulting may have transposed columns of Exhibit 1. Verizon indicated they would add disclaimer in the 'Resale Handbook' to indicate that the official Interval Guide is in the 'Resale Standard Intervals.'  Action Items:  Verizon is to provide a date as to when they will add the disclaimer at the next meeting.  KPMG Consulting is to further investigate this issue and determine whether to issue amended observation.  06/05/01: Verizon indicated they will eliminate all intervals in the Resale Handbook and include a link in the website to the Resale Standard Intervals by June 15, 2001.	VA Observation Report 03 v2.pdf

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			KPMG Consulting indicated the columns in Exhibit 1 were transposed and the observation will be amended accordingly.  This observation is deferred until June 19, 2001 for further verification.  Action Items:  Verizon will report on the status re the Resale Handbook changes at the June 19 Observation meeting.  06/12/01: Deferred until June 19, 2001. Amended observation VA Observation Report 03 v2.doc is posted on the website.  06/19/01: Verizon indicated that they removed the intervals in the 'Resale Handbook' and added a link pointing to the 'Resale Standard Intervals.'  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate the updates in the 'Resale Handbook' and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  06/26/01: KPMG Consulting confirmed that the changes have been verified and proposed to close the observation next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
004	KPMG Consulting received late Due Date Availability (DDA) responses	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/12/01: Closed  06/12/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they need additional information such as date and time of the transactions noted in the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will provide the submission date-time group of the transactions to Verizon, and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  06/19/01: KPMG Consulting discovered an error in the observation and issued an amendment, VA Observation Report 04 v2.pdf. KPMG Consulting issued supporting	VA Observation Report 04 v2 VA Observation Report 04 detail

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			documentation for the transactions noted in the observation, VA Observation Report 04 detail.pdf. Verizon indicated that they are conducting an analysis of KPMG Consulting's experience against other CLECs' experience during the same period.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O6/26/01: Verizon indicated that they had experienced a system condition on May 9, 2001 between 4:45 – 5:30 pm, which caused delays for other CLECs as well. On May 26, 2001, a software fix has been applied to the system to address this particular system condition. However, this system condition and its fix were not posted since CLECs did not report a problem.  Verizon also indicated that two of the forty DDA transactions occurred during this system condition and that the average response time excluding the two transactions would be 5.08 seconds. AT&T asked if KPMG Consulting is planning to normalize the statistics for the observation, or if KPMG Consulting is planning to retest. KPMG Consulting indicated that DDA Response time would be retested during production.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will request necessary information to investigate and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/03/01: KPMG Consulting asked about the system notification process of "non-reported" system conditions when the system condition affects the entire CLEC community. Verizon indicated that it is industry practice that "non-reported" system conditions are not reported to CLECs. AT&T asked how the system condition would affect the Late DDA metrics. KPMG Consulting responded that the observation will not change based on the system	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				Documents
				condition that occurred on May 26, 2001. The two transactions that occurred during the system condition will not be excluded for calculating the average response time; rather, DDA timeliness will be tested and carefully observed during production.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will follow-up and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting indicated that DDA timeliness would be tested during production and proposed to defer this observation until August 21, 2001. In addition, KPMG Consulting confirmed that Verizon provided a reference to a new document for Verizon East Wholesale Customer Care Center, which details processes related to system conditions.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.  7/17/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  07/24/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and proposed to defer the observation meeting.	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			<ul> <li>KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>69/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.         <ul> <li>Action Items:</li> <li>KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.</li> <li>09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.</li> <li>10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that in production DDA was tested and is currently passing the requisite standard and proposed to close the observation.         <ul> <li>Action Items:</li> <li>KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>10/09/01: Closed</li> </ul>	
005	KPMG Consulting received late	Closed	Discussion	06/12/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they need additional	VA
003	Telephone Number Availability & Reservation (TNA) pre-order responses	Closed	Complete	information such as date and time of the transactions noted in the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will provide the submission date-time group of the transactions to Verizon, and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O6/19/01: KPMG Consulting issued supporting documentation for the transactions noted in the observation, VA Observation Report 05 detail.pdf. Verizon indicated that they are conducting an analysis of KPMG Consulting's experience against other CLECs' experience during the same period.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up	Observation Report 05 detail

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			questions at the next Observation meeting.  06/26/01: Verizon indicated that they had experienced a system condition on May 9, 2001 between 4:45 – 5:30 pm, which caused delays for other CLECs as well. On May 26, 2001, a software fix has been applied to the system to address this particular system condition. However, this system condition and its fix were not posted since CLECs did not report a problem.  Verizon also indicated that four of the fifty-nine TNA transactions (Items 34, 35, 38, and 40) occurred during this system condition and that the average response time excluding the four transactions would be 8.84 seconds. In addition, Verizon indicated that the standard that should be used as the Telephone Number Availability & Reservation is a combination of ADR and TNA measures, which reflects a Retail composite metric. VA Commission asked why a composite should be used and not just PO-1-05.  Action Items:  ** KPMG Consulting will request necessary information to investigate and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/03/01: Verizon reiterated their response from last week indicating that four of the fifty-nine TNA transactions (Items 34, 35, 38, and 40) occurred during this system condition and that the average response time excluding the four transactions would be 8.84 seconds. In addition, Verizon reiterated that the measurement of Telephone Number Availability & Reservation standard is a combination of Address Validation and Telephone Number measures, which reflects a Retail composite metric. April 2001 Retail Composite would be 9.99	
				seconds (Address Validation (4.86 seconds) plus Telephone Number (1.13 seconds) plus parity (4 seconds)). KPMG Consulting responded that TNA	

<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
			timeliness would be tested and carefully observed during production.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting indicated that the C2C Guidelines do not specify the use a Retail Composite metric to measure Telephone Number Availability timeliness, rather it states to use the metric, PO-1-05. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that TNA timeliness would be tested during production and proposed to defer this observation until August 21, 2001.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.  7/17/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/14/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation	
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS	-	•	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS  timeliness would be tested and carefully observed during production.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/10/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the C2C Guidelines do not specify the use a Retail Composite metric to measure Telephone Number Availability timeliness, rather it states to use the metric, PO-1-05. In addition, KPMG Consulting indicated that TNA timeliness would be tested during production and proposed to defer this observation until August 21, 2001.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.  O7/17/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  O7/24/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  O8/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  O8/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  O8/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  O8/14/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  O8/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  O8/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  WEMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation until next week.

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				09/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.  09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.  09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.  10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that an exception on TNA timeliness has been issued and proposed to close the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
				next Observation meeting. 10/09/01: Closed	
007	KPMG Consulting cannot complete Resale pre-orders when adhering to Verizon's LSOG 4.5.1 Business Rules documentation	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they agree with KPMG Consulting's findings and plan to update company codes through normal change control process. They will post a bulletin for CLECs around July 5.  Action Items:  Verizon will provide status on the change process and address any follow-up questions at the July 10th Observation meeting.  07/03/01: Deferred until July 10, 2001.  07/10/01: Verizon indicated that Change Control Bulletin #2151 for LSOG 4 was issued and discussed in the Change Control meeting on July 6, 2001. Verizon indicated that in CR #2151, the following two Field notes were added: 1) The CLEC/Reseller should input their Exchange Carrier Code in this field. 2) This field matches or maps to the RSID for Reseller or AECN for CLEC. KPMG Consulting indicated that we have two codes that do not map to each other. KPMG Consulting's ECC is	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				WLAZ and RSID is 9995. Verizon asked if KPMG Consulting brought this issue up on the Change Control call. KPMG Consulting responded that the issue was discussed and Verizon's response was that the codes should not be conflicting.  Action Items:  Verizon will investigate whether this issue is unique to KPMG Consulting or not and find out if there are similar conditions that may affect other CLECs and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/17/01: Verizon reiterated that per Change Control Bulletin #2151, when a CLEC/Reseller uses the Exchange Carrier Code (ECC), the system matches or maps the ECC to the RSID for Reseller or AECN for CLEC. In south, ECC will map to RSID or AECN; in north, ECC will match. The two codes do not need to be identical. VASCC asked if there would be potential problems if an entity was both CLEC and Reseller. Verizon indicated that there would be no potential problem. Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate and address any	
				follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/24/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the documentation remains unclear. Verizon indicated that Change Request #2151 was made as clarification per KPMG Consulting. Verizon would like KPMG Consulting to recommend a clarifying statement. KPMG Consulting indicated that we do not provide specific recommendations as to how the documentation should be worded; however, we would like to see more clarification so that, for example, a CLEC would not make the mistake of entering RSID in the company code field.  Action Items:	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	THE TIPE OBSERVATIONS			questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/31/01: Verizon indicated that they believe the bulletin is clear and concise. Further, they have nothing more to add to the bulletin. KPMG Consulting indicated that the first note is clear but the second note creates confusion.  Verizon indicated that the second note was included per CLECs' request and that they do not intend to remove the second item. KPMG Consulting indicated that we understand Verizon's perspective, however, we still believe that the bulletin is unclear.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  08/07/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the bulletin remains unclear, however, the Change Control Bulletin does address the issue and we proposed to close the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
000	VDMC Consulting received lets	Closed	Discussion	08/14/01: Closed	
008	KPMG Consulting received late Daily Usage Feed (DUF) records	Ciosed	Complete	<ul> <li>06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they used the wrong DUF setup for KPMG Consulting. Instead of creating a new setup for Virginia, they had used the setup for Pennsylvania, causing Resale DUF to recycle. They indicated a fix has been applied.         <ul> <li>Action Items:</li> <li>KPMG Consulting will verify the changes, determine if retest is required, and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>07/03/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that there would be a DUF re-test and proposed to close the observation next week.         <ul> <li>Action Items:</li> <li>KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				200000000
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			07/10/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that rather than close this observation, the delivery of DUF records would be retested and proposed to defer it until August 21, 2001.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the August 21 Observation meeting.  07/17/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  07/24/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  07/31/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/07/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/14/01: Deferred until August 21, 2001.  08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we received all Resale records and Verizon met the timeliness standard of 95% within four business days and we proposed to close the observation next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
009	KPMG Consulting observed	Closed	Discussion	06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the issue is under	
007	discrepancies in the June 2001 release of the Verizon – expressTRAK Quality Baseline Validation Test Deck (Version 4.4) for LSOG 4.6.1.	Closed	Complete	investigation and they will respond at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issues and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/03/01: Verizon indicated that they agree with the findings noted as items 1 and 2 in Exhibit 1. For Item #1, the control sheet was corrected but not updated before LSR. For Item #2, the control sheet was corrected after LSR.  Verizon indicated the issues observed for items 1 and 2 are corrected in the eTRAK Test Deck version 4.5  (6/22/01). The third item (Scenario #33) is still under investigation.  Action Items:	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			<ul> <li>Verizon will address Item #3 and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>07/10/01: KPMG Consulting verified that items 1 and 2 are corrected as indicated by Verizon last week. Verizon indicated that the finding noted in the last paragraph (Scenario #33) has been corrected with Test Deck version 4.6 (7/9/02).         Action Items:         </li> <li>KPMG Consulting will verify the correction for Scenario #33 in the new Test Deck and address any questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>07/17/01: KPMG Consulting verified that Item 3 and the fourth finding (Scenario #33) are corrected as indicated by Verizon last week. KPMG Consulting proposed to close the observation.         Action Items:         KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.     </li> </ul>	
010	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon's EDI system returns inaccurate error responses to Local Service Requests (LSR)	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/24/01: Closed  06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the issue is under investigation and that they need additional information (In/Out EDI files) from KPMG Consulting.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will provide EDI In/Out files to Verizon.  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/03/01: KPMG Consulting provided Verizon with the additional information (In/Out EDI files). Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis and they will respond at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/10/01: Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			and that they will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/17/01: Verizon indicated that they agree with the findings and had applied an enhancement software pack on June 16, 2001 and asked if KPMG Consulting would be able to retest. KPMG Consulting indicated that we would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  O7/24/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we retested the planned error and verified the corrections. KPMG Consulting proposed to close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the issue at the next Observation meeting.	
				07/31/01: Closed	
011	KPMG Consulting received late Provisioning Completion Messages (PCM)	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/26/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that the issue is under investigation and they will respond at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/03/01: Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis and they will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/10/01: Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis	
				and they will address the issue at the next Observation	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			meeting. Action Items: Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/17/01: Verizon indicated that the issue is under further analysis and they will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items: Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/24/01: Verizon indicated that a system condition, which affected six items in Exhibit 1, caused delays. Specifically, a table entry caused the six items to undergo an automatic dialtone test, which is invalid for these types of orders. A fix was implemented on 5/24. The other four items are still under investigation. Further, Verizon indicated that after adjusting for the six items affected by the system condition, the results would meet the 97% timeliness	
				guideline.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate the issue and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  Verizon will address the four items under investigation and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/31/01: Verizon indicated that they would report on the remaining four items at the next Observation meeting. Further, Verizon indicated that with the system fix in place for the other six items, retesting might be suitable. KPMG Consulting indicated that similar to the other timeliness observations, we would test and observe during production.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the four items under	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				investigation and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/07/01: Verizon indicated that they would report on the remaining four items at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the four items under investigation and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/14/01: Verizon indicated that the remaining four items were delayed due to the following reasons:  Items 5 & 8 – The Provisioning Completion	
				Notices were completed manually.  Item 9 – A Dial Tone test was incorrectly run on this order.  Item 10 –A rep. issued a "billing only" order to update eTRAK in order to maintain the integrity of the database.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.	
				08/21/01: KPMG Consulting asked Verizon to explain when and why a "billing only" order is issued and if this special order applies to both wholesale and retail transactions in eTRAK. Verizon indicated that a "billing only" order is necessary to maintain the integrity of the eTRAK database. Whenever a rep. issues a "billing only" order, the system does not send an automatic notification back and manual intervention is necessary. With Item 10, the rep. failed to return a manual notification back to KPMG Consulting.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  Verizon will investigate and address whether "billing only" orders are issued for both wholesale and retail	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				transactions at the next Observation meeting.  08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  09/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Verizon has been meeting the timeliness standard (OR-4-05), which states that 97% of PCMs should be received by noon of the next business day. KPMG Consulting proposed to close the observation next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.  09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.  10/02/01: Closed	
015	Unbundled Port Usage quantities	Closed	Discussion	07/17/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they agree with the	
	for Originating Minutes of Use were incorrectly applied and billed to KPMG Consulting CLEC	Cioscu	Complete	findings. They indicated that there was an under-billing situation where category 11 was omitted from the bills. This was corrected on July 7, 2001. VASCC asked if an announcement was made to the CLECs. Verizon responded that they would investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting confirmed that category 11 had been omitted from the bills. KPMG Consulting proposed to defer this issue until the DUF retest in August.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				follow-up questions at the Observation meeting following the DUF retest in August 2001.  07/31/01: Deferred until August DUF retest. 08/07/01: Deferred until August DUF retest. 08/14/01: Deferred until August DUF retest. 08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we have completed the DUF retest and are now conducting analysis. Since we will not receive the DUF bills until the 5th day after the billing cycle, we proposed to defer until 9/25. Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the Observation meeting to be held on 9/25.  08/28/01: Deferred until September 25, 2001. 09/04/01: Deferred until September 25, 2001. 09/11/01: Meeting cancelled. 09/18/01: Meeting cancelled. 09/25/01: Meeting cancelled. 10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we did not find variance between expected results and the actual results of the retest and proposed to close the observation. Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
016	KPMG Consulting CLEC has received UNE-P expressTRAK bills from Verizon with unexpected charges for specific call types	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/17/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they agree with the findings. They indicated that a fix was implemented on July 7, 2001 and that KPMG Consulting would not see these types of discrepancies in the retest. VASCC asked if the fix is a permanent software fix. Verizon concurred. AT&T asked if the fix was in retrospect or for future occurrences. Verizon responded for future occurrences.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will investigate the fixes and address any follow-up questions at the next	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			Observation meeting.  07/24/01: KPMG Consulting proposed to defer this issue until the DUF retest in August.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the Observation meeting following the DUF retest in August 2001.  07/31/01: Deferred until August DUF retest.  08/07/01: Deferred until August DUF retest.  08/14/01: Deferred until August DUF retest.  08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we have completed the DUF retest and are now conducting analysis. Since we will not receive the DUF bills until the 5th day after the billing cycle, we proposed to defer until 9/25.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the Observation meeting to be held on 9/25.  08/28/01: Deferred until September 25, 2001.  09/04/01: Deferred until September 25, 2001.  09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.  09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.  10/02/01: KPMG Consulting indicated we did not find incorrect items during the retest and proposed to close the observation.  Action Items:	
				Action Items:  • KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  10/09/01: Closed	
017	KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies in the Verizon Pre-Order EDI Guide - Version 4.6.1.	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/17/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				07/24/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up	
				questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/31/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.	
				08/07/01: Verizon indicated they agree with the findings and they plan to post Change Control #2182 on 8/10 addressing the issue and would like to defer the discussion until then. <u>Action Items:</u> • KPMG Consulting will review the Change Control	
				address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  08/14/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the Change Control #2182 resolves most of documentation discrepancies noted in the observation; however, we will retest discrepancies that required a mapping update. Mapping update items are: 6, 10, and 14. In addition, there remains a discrepancy for Item 17. The EDI guidelines have been updated, however, the example is still incorrect.  Action Items:  Verizon will review the Item 17 and address the	
				issue at the next Observation meeting.  08/21/01: Verizon indicated that they agreed with KPMG Consulting's assessment of Item 17 and plan to issue a CR on 8/23.  Action Items:	
				<ul> <li>KPMG Consulting will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we reviewed CR #2226</li> </ul>	
				issued on 8/23 and do not have outstanding discrepancies and proposed to close the observation.	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				Documents
				Action Items:  • KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  09/04/01: Closed	
018	KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies in the Verizon Order EDI Guide - Version 4.6.1.	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/17/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/24/01: Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  07/31/01: Verizon indicated they agree with the findings. They indicated a bulletin will be released on 8/2 that will clarify the issues identified in this observation and would like to defer the discussion until then. In addition, a new release of the EDI Guide version 4.7 will be available.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will verify and address any	
				follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/07/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we received the Change Control bulletin last week; we are still reviewing it and would like to defer the discussion until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting indicated that the Change Control #2169 resolves most of items noted in the observation; however, we will retest Item 2, which is related to mapping update. In addition, the following items still have discrepancies: 5, 9, 10, and 11. For all of these items, the primary section in the EDI guidelines was updated, but the secondary section was not.	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				Documents
				Action Items:  Verizon will review the Items 5, 9, 10, & 11 and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Verizon indicated that they agreed with KMG Consulting's assessment of Items 9, 10, & 11 and plan to issue CR #2183 on 8/23. However, Verizon disagreed with our assessment of the Item 5. The two fields (Accept and Reject) on the ERR form are applicable to Web GUI only and not for EDI. A clarification comment was made on the EDI Guide v.4.7 released on 8/15.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will review the new EDI Guide and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  8/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we reviewed CR #2183 issued on 8/23 and do not have outstanding discrepancies. In addition, we agreed that the comment added to the EDI Guide v4.7 addresses the final discrepancy and proposed to close the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
019	Unbundled Switching and Transport Usage rates were incorrectly applied and billed to the KPMG Consulting CLEC on Verizon Virginia CABS bills	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/17/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they agree with the findings that wrong rates had been applied. However, some of KPMG Consulting's expected rates were not calculated correctly:  Item 1: Expected Rate & Actual Rate should be zero until the proposed rate is approved by the commission  Item 2 & 3: KPMG Consulting's Expected Rate is accurate and they have been corrected on 7/1 CABS bills  Item 4 & 5: Actual Rate on the bill is accurate, however, it was not posted on the website correctly	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			Further, Verizon indicated that credits will be issued to CLECs for any overcharges and will respond at the next Observation call as to when CLECs should expect credit in their bills and when updates will be made to the website.  Action Items:  Verizon will report as to when CLECs should expect credit in their bills and when updates will be made to the website at the next Observation meeting.  Verizon indicated that they do not have the date as to when CLECs should expect credit in their bills. However, updates for rates on the website will be made on Wednesday, July 25, 2001. KPMG Consulting asked when Verizon filed for tariff change for the Item 1 noted in Exhibit 1.  Action Items:  Verizon will investigate as to when CLECs should expect credit in their bills and report on when they filed for tariff at the next Observation meeting.  KPMG Consulting will investigate updates to the website and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  O7/31/01: KPMG Consulting confirmed that the website has been updated. Verizon indicated that credits will be applied on September bill and that they had filed for the tariff change on 7/2.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next	
				Observation meeting. 08/07/01: KPMG Consulting proposed to defer this issue until DUF re-test.	
				Action Items:	
				■ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will address the issue and any	
				follow-up questions at the Observation meeting	
				following the DUF retest in August 2001.	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				08/14/01: Deferred until August DUF retest. 08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we have completed the DUF retest and are now conducting analysis. Since we will not receive the DUF bills until the 5th day after the billing cycle, we proposed to defer until 9/25.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the Observation meeting to be held on 9/25.  08/28/01: Deferred until September 25, 2001. 09/04/01: Deferred until September 25, 2001. 09/11/01: Meeting cancelled. 09/18/01: Meeting cancelled. 09/25/01: Meeting cancelled. 10/02/01: KPMG Consulting found corresponding tariff amounts on both the wholesale website and on the CABs bill during the retest and proposed to close the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.	
020	KPMG Consulting received late Billing Completion Notices (BCM)	Closed	Discussion Complete	<ul> <li>07/24/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.         <u>Action Items:</u> <ul> <li>■ Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>07/31/01: Verizon indicated they agree with the findings. Verizon's responses to the fifteen items in Exhibit 1 are as follows:         <ul> <li>■ Items 1 − 4 &amp; 8: Due to a system condition but were corrected on 6/24. This issue was identified by KPMG Consulting (Trouble Ticket #303165) and retested during SRT.</li> <li>■ Items 5, 6, &amp; 9 − 15: Due to a system condition but were corrected PCM delay on 6/24 (by inference corrected BCM).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				<ul> <li>Item 7: Rep error. This item was manually returned. Verizon has conducted training sessions with the rep and is providing on-going training to the NMC reps.         Action Items:         </li> <li>KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>08/07/01: KPMG Consulting indicated we had not conducted a retest in SRT of the issues identified in Items 1 – 4, &amp; 8 as indicated by Verizon last week. However, we do intend to retest the issues identified as Items 1 – 6 and 8 – 9 in production. In addition, we requested more information and clarification on Item 7 as to why a rep error occurred.</li></ul>	
				Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  08/14/01: Verizon indicated that for Item 7, a rep. issued a "billing only" manual order to correct the eTRAK database, which caused the BCM delay.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any	
				follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/21/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the "billing only" question for Observation 11 applies to this observation as well. In addition, we are conducting production testing as well as timeliness analysis and deferred discussion until next week.  Action Items:	
				<ul> <li>KPMG Consulting will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>Verizon will investigate and address whether "billing only" orders are necessary for both wholesale and retail transactions at the next Observation meeting.</li> <li>08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we are conducting the</li> </ul>	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	TACTIVE OBSERVATIONS			production test and analyzing test results and proposed to defer the observation until next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.  O9/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that Verizon has been meeting the timeliness standard (OR-4-02) which states that 97% of BCMs should be received by noon of the next business day after receipt of the PCM. KPMG Consulting proposed to close the observation next week.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  O9/11/01: Meeting cancelled.  O9/18/01: Meeting cancelled.	
023	KPMG Consulting observed that Verizon did not schedule a final status call during the June 2001 New Release Testing as per Verizon's documented process	Closed	Discussion Complete	10/02/01: Closed  08/07/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they disagree with the finding in the observation. During CLEC testing period, Verizon conducts 2 meetings per week. On Friday's call (6/8), a question was asked whether CLECs felt a Monday call (6/11) would be necessary, to which the CLECs agreed that Tuesday's call (6/12) would be sufficient and subsequently cancelled Monday call. Action Items:  ■ KPMG Consulting will investigate and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  08/14/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we attended the Friday call (6/8); however, we do not have a record of Verizon canceling the Monday call (6/11). In addition, Verizon documentation does not indicate that these calls are "negotiable," and asked if that is the case, whether Verizon was going to update the CLEC handbook. Verizon indicated that KPMG Consulting may not have	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
	THE THE OBSERVITIONS			accurate notes from the call and reiterated that Verizon's notes indicate that the Monday meeting had been canceled per CLECs' consensus. Further, Verizon will investigate updating the CLEC handbook to reflect the "negotiated" nature of these CLEC calls.  Action Items:  Verizon will investigate the possibility of updating the documents and address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  08/21/01: Verizon indicated that changes to the CLEC handbook are not necessary.  Action Items:	
				<ul> <li>KPMG Consulting will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.</li> </ul>	
				08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that although Verizon indicated last week that changes to CLEC handbook are not necessary, there should be a method or process in which CLECs would be notified when these meetings are cancelled.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.	
				09/04/01: Verizon indicated that they would like to defer this Observation until next week.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and ask any clarification questions at the next Observation meeting.	
				09/11/01: Meeting cancelled. 09/18/01: Meeting cancelled. 09/25/01: Meeting cancelled. 10/02/01: Verizon indicated that they would continue with the documented process of conducting CLEC calls.	

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  10/09/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we received Verizon's response that they would hold scheduled CLEC status calls and subsequently proposed to close the observation.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will close the observation at the next Observation meeting.  10/16/01: Closed	
025	KPMG Consulting received late Local Service Request Local Responses (LSRLRs) on Flow- Through orders.	Rescinded	Non Issue	08/07/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/14/01: KPMG Consulting rescinded the observation, indicating that these PONs should not have flown-through in the first place.	
026	KPMG Consulting observed inconsistencies between metric standards specified in the Virginia Carrier-to-Carrier Guidelines and those reported in the Verizon May and June 2001 Aggregate Carrier-to-Carrier reports for Virginia.	Closed	Discussion Complete	08/14/01: Opened; Verizon indicated that they would address the issue at the next Observation meeting.  Action Items:  Verizon will address the issue and any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.  08/21/01: Verizon indicated that they agreed with Items 1 – 4 but not Items 5 & 6. The correct standards for Items 1 – 4 should be listed in the July data month report. As for standards noted in Items 5 & 6, they are accurate per footnote 15 in the C2C Guideline. In addition, it appears that the standard for Item 3 is mistakenly listed as <= 7, it should be <= 4.  Action Items:  KPMG Consulting will review the July data month report and footnote 15 in the C2C Guidelines and address any follow-up questions at the next Observation meeting.	VA Observation Report 26 v2

ID	<b>Brief Description</b>	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
					Documents
	ACTIVE OBSERVATIONS				
				08/28/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that we agreed with	
				Verizon's statement regarding Items 3, 5 and 6 and an	
				amendment to the observation would be issued. In	
				addition, we indicated that we would review the July data	
				month report and provide status on Items $1-4$ next week.	
				Action Items:	
				■ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will review the July data month	
				report and address any follow-up questions at the	
				next Observation meeting.	
				09/04/01: KPMG Consulting indicated that the amended	
				observation has been issued and that Items $1-4$ have	
				been corrected in the July data month and proposed to	
				close the observation.	
				Action Items:	
				■ <b>KPMG Consulting</b> will close the observation at the	
				next Observation meeting.	
				09/11/01: Meeting cancelled.	
				09/18/01: Meeting cancelled.	
				09/25/01: Meeting cancelled.	
				10/02/01: Closed	